Dues against M/s. Carews

1989. SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA:

SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Calcutta High Court in its order on SLP has directed Carews to pay 50 per cent of the amount due on combiflam;

(b) when this order was passed and what are the details thereof;

(c) what is the position of recovery from this company in respect of other products;

(d) what were the products involved when the company crossed the limit of Rs. 50 lakhs and what is being done to other products;

(e) whether it is a fact that company is carrying on business under shadow names; and

(f) if so, what is the exact, position and what action has been taken against the company?

THE MINISTER OF STATE • IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDUAR DO FALEIRO). (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) to (f) The requisite information, to the extent available, shall be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

Trade Commission and promotional expenses incurred by companies

1990. DR. NARREDDY THULASI REDDY; Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 517 given in Rajya Sabha on 26th November, 1992 and state:

(a) the -names of the companies which have submitted details in Form 6 to the Government during 1991-92;

(b) the amount of trade commission and promotional expenses on formulations incurred by each company in 1991-92 separately and what percentage of the total expenses do they form;

(c) whether Government propose to conduct any study on the genuineness and reasonableness of these expenses; and

(d) if so, what are the findings thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI EDU-ARDO FALEIRO): (a) and (b) To the extent available, the required details as per Form-6 for 1991-92 are given in the enclosed Statement. (See below) Promotional expense is - not- required to be monitored under the DPCO, '87.

(c) There is no such proposal under consideration.

(d) Does not arise.

Statement

Expenditure on trade commission and promotoinal expanses on (Formulations as reported inform 6for 1991-92

Figure in Rs liiskhs

Sl. No	Name of the Company	Total Expenses	Trades Commission on the formulation	
			Amount	% to total
1	2	3	4	5
1	Alembic Chem	12137	1068	8.8
2	Andhra Sugar	665	..	..
3	Burroughs Wellcome	14492	Nil	Nil
4	Bayer	19128	191	1.0
5	Cyanamid	1117	2	0.2
6	Duphar	6160	71	1.2
7	E. Merck	12407	1867	15.0
8	Glaxo	50352	7281	14.5
9	Merind	6179	1150	18.6
10	Nicholas	8851	2202	24.9
11	Pfizer	14883	292	2.0
12	Procter & Gamble	16067	721	4.5
13	Rickett & Colman	9684	Nil	Nil
14	Reptakos Brett	4507	..	..
15	Roche	8125	1928	23.7
16	Geoffrey Manners	9123	322	3.5
17	Ifiunik	1243	183	14-7
18	Infar.	3626	60	1.7
19	John Wyeth	6579	2130	33.1
20	Wyeth Lab	3824	1366	35.7
21	Boehringer Mannhem	4381	..	..
22	Abbott	4569	967	21.2
23	Bio-Evans	5283	1265	23.9
24	J.B. Chemicals	6748	..	..
25	Fal ford	6391	1613	25.2

1	2	3	4	5
26	Boots	12009	2612	21.7
27	Parke-Davis	11665	2501	21.4
28	E.I.P.W.	4068	366	9.0
29	Biddle Sawyer	1140	335	29.4
30	Apte Amulgamation	3983	..	..
31	Cheminor Drug	2890	..	..
32	Synbiotics	3307	17	0.5
33	Serdia	718	38	5.3
34	Anil Starch	4085	..	..
35	CEVEE Pharma	8	..	..
36	Inventa Chemicals	1497	..	..
37	Indon Labs	137	..	..
38	Malladi Drugs	1305	12	0.92
39	Anglo French	1174	..	..
40	BIL	2297	84	3.7
41	Astra-IDL	2550	..	..
42	ICI	6109	..	..
43	R.S. Pathy	32	7	21.9
44	Carews Pharma	1195	..	..
45	Metroni Drugs	517	1	0.2
46	Indo Pharma	510	Nil	Nil
47	Win Medicare	2212	75	3.4
48	Sunil Synchem	299	Nil	Nil
49	New Land Labs	458	Nil	Nil
50	HAL	13403	231	1.7
51	Unique Pharma	1459	..	..

-: Not -given separately for Formulation in Fown-6.

Non-recoveries of liabilities from M/s. Hoechst

1991. SHRIMATI KAILASHPATI: Will the PRIRffi MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Gowerameat's atten-tion has been drawn to the news item which appeared under the caption "Foul Play suspected for non-recovery of liabilities from M/s Hoechst" as appeared in the Orissa Times dated the 14th December, 1992;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Special Team supressed Rs. 100 crores